

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/647,304 | C | 09/27/2000 | Thomas Maurer | EYEM1100 | 7023 |
| 25548 | 7590 | 07/15/2003 | | | |
| MARK M. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| GRAY CARY WARE & FREIDENRICH, LLP 4365 EXECUTIVE DRIVE, SUITE 1100 | | | | KIBLER, VIRGINIA M | |
| SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-2133 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2623 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003 | 7 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | · | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 09/647,304 | MAURER ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Virginia M Kibler | 2623 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| ·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | is action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) 10 and 12 is/are objected to. | r alastian raquiroment | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 September 2000</u> is/a | | to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro | oved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list. | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | - | | | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e | e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4. | 5) Notice of Informal | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/647,304

Art Unit: 2623

1.4

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 2/26/01 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 10 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: "preformed" should be changed to "perform" in Claim 10, line 9; and "A method for A method for" should be changed to "A method for" in Claim 12, line 16. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Davis, Jr. et al. (5,839,000).

Application/Control Number: 09/647,304

Art Unit: 2623

42

Regarding claim 12, Davis, Jr. et al. ("Davis") discloses a method for determining a state of a person in that the state of the person is the degree to which an eye is closed (Col. 3, lines17-25).

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 6-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Maurer et al. (WO 99/53443).

Regarding claim 1, Maurer et al. ("Maurer") discloses a method for determining a state of a person including automatically defining a region of interest in an image indicative of a predetermined feature (Page 7, lines 19-21) of the person using topology, or an early vision cue (Page 13, lines 11-17); and automatically finding the location of the predetermined feature in the defined region of interest using elastic bunch graph matching (Page 10, lines 8-9).

Regarding claim 2, the arguments analogous to those presented above for claim 1 are applicable to claim 2. Note, Maurer discloses roughly locating the region of interest and finding the location of the predetermined feature commencing at a rough location provided by the step of defining the region of interest (Page 11, lines 5-7).

Regarding claim 3, Maurer discloses the early vision cue including topology (Page 13, lines 11-17).

Regarding claim 6, Maurer discloses the predetermined feature as the person's face and the state of the person is described by nodes positions of facial elements (Page 7, lines 12-21).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/647,304

Art Unit: 2623

· 🕠

Regarding claim 7, Maurer discloses that the image is in a sequence of images and the location of the predetermined feature is tracked in a subsequent image (Page 17, lines 3-5).

Regarding claim 8, Maurer discloses an erroneous location of the predetermined feature is corrected based on a model of typical facial features (Page 26, lines 12-21 and Page 27, lines 19-21).

Regarding claim 9, Maurer discloses reinitializing the tracking of the location of the predetermined feature based on a predicted location of the predetermined feature (Page 29, lines 4-7 and Page 17, lines 20-21).

Regarding claim 10, Maurer discloses the reinitializing step is performed using bunch graph matching (Page 29, lines 14-19).

Regarding claim 11, Maurer discloses a method for determining a state of a person further including using the location of the predetermined feature for animating a graphical head model (Page 7, lines 13-16 and Page 9, line 1).

Regarding claim 13, the arguments analogous to those presented above for claim 1 are applicable to claim 13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/647,304 Page 5

Art Unit: 2623

8. Claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maurer et al. (WO 99/53443) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wurtz (*Object Recognition Robust Under Translations, Deformations, and Changes in Background*).

Regarding claim 5, Maurer does not appear to recognize defining the region of interest including background suppression. However, Wurtz teaches that it is known to include background suppression (Sect. 2.4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the region of interest disclosed by Maurer to include background suppression, as taught by Wurtz, in order to provide recognition with background independence.

9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maurer et al. (WO 99/53443) as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Steffens et al. (PersonSpotter – Fast and Robust System for Human Detection, Tracking, and Recognition).

Regarding claim 4, Maurer does not appear to recognize including stereovision to produce disparity histograms and silhouette images. However, Steffens et al. ("Steffens") teaches that it is known to use stereovision to produce disparity histograms and silhouette images (Sect. 2.2, Para. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the tracking disclosed by Maurer (Page 8, lines 15-20) to include stereovision to produce disparity histograms and silhouette images, as taught by Steffens, as an alternative method of tracking.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2623

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,222,939 to Wiskott et al. for labeled bunch graphs for image analysis.

Contact Information

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia M Kibler whose telephone number is (703) 306-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs. 8:00 - 5:30 and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

VK July 11, 2003

SAMIR AHMED PRIMARY EXAMINER